



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,611	09/18/2003	KokHoc Chia	STL11343	4044
7590	05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
Seagate Technology LLC 1280 Disc Drive Shakopee, MN 55379			WALTER, CRAIG E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/664,611	CHIA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Craig E. Walter	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Craig E. Walter (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. John Velduis-Kroeze. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsuchimoto et al. (US Patent '202).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Mano Padmanabhan* 5/24/06  
MANO PADMANABHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Velduis-Kroeze pointed out specific differences in the present invention and the Tsuchimoto reference. Examiner acknowledged differences between the inventions as a whole, however still maintains Tsuchimoto anticipates claim 15 as presently recited. Examiner advised Mr. Velduis-Kroeze to either modify claim 15 to positively recite unique features of the present invention in order to overcome the rejection, or provide Examiner with written remarks as to why Tsuchimoto fails to anticipate independent claim 15.